CALIFORNIA FOREIGN RESEARCH REACTOR SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL (FRRSNF) TRANSPORTATION EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN OUTLINE

TABLE of CONTENTS

1.0	PLANNING BASIS			
	1.1	Introduction	1	
	1.2		1	
	1.4	Scope	,1	
	1.5	Mission		
	1.6	Policy		
	1.7	Assumptions	2	
2.0	SITUATION			
	2.1	Transportation Emergencies	2	
	2.2	Terrorist Threat	2	
*	2.3	Civil Demonstration	2	
3.0	CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS2			
	3.1	Planning Threat Analysis Emergency Operations Ingestion Pathway Operations Site Restoration Operations		
	3.2	Threat Analysis		
	3.3	Emergency Operations		
	3.4	Ingestion Pathway Operations	3	
	3.5	Site Restoration Operations	3	
4.0	ORC	GANIZATION	3	
4.0	4.1	Standardized Emergency Management & Cm (SEM		
	4.2	Agency Responsibilities and Tasks		
	D			
5.0	PLA	AN MAINTENA CE AND TEON NG	3	
6.0	ATTACHMEN		3	
	6.1	Authorities at the sees	3	
	6.2	Acronyms and I		
	6.3	Response to FRRS		
	6.4	Telephone Check Lix	4	
	6.5	Radiological Constant	4	
- ^	ODE	AN ARKONAK ANJEWEG	4	
7.0		OPERATIONAL ANNEXES		
	7.1	Manager of Emergency (
	7.2	Law Er rement Operations		
	7.3	Emer cy Medical Services		
	7.4	Public lealth Services		
	7.5	Res es and Support		
	7.6	Ra gical Protection	5	

CALIFORNIA FOREIGN RESEARCH REACTOR SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL (FRRSNF) TRANSPORTATION EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN OUTLINE

1.0 PLANNING BASIS

- 1.1 Introduction: This plan outline will be used as planning basis and Laide for development of the Emergency Response Plan and Standard Operating Procedures (SO) for the transport. Foreign Research Reactor Spent Fuel (FRRSNF) into California via the Concern Navel V Lapons Standard transported through California to the Idaho National Engineering Group and Laborato.
- **1.2 Background:** The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) plans to ship nuclear fuel from overseas through the Concord Naval Weapons Station and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL).

The first shipment is scheduled to begin January or February, 1998. The prefer part method is by rail. A total of five shipments will be required to ship all 38 of these will over a 10-13 year period.

Governor Wilson supports the federal policy of returning this sent and U.S. to remove it from potential international commerce and possible use in nuclear y capons each, he opposes the use of Concord as the port of entry for these shipmers based in the Euronmental Impact Statement recommendations.

The Governor agreed in the Summer, 1996, to the agence articipat of in contingency transport planning for these shipments in case the state is forced accept the bipper as. These agencies include California Energy Commission, Department of Heart Strucks, Cauchy Strucks,

- **1.3 Purpose:** To develop a SNF En Response Plan and SOPs which will define the roles and responsibilities of all FRK mittee in
- 1.4 Scope: This plan address a sument a case' responsibilities in a major FRRSNF emergency response along the transportation is document describes organizations, operational concepts, and government preparations to respond to RSNF emergency.
- 1.5 Mission: In plementing this plement fulfills its obligations to protect public health, safety, and property and he environment.
- 1.6 Policy: The overnor agreed if the Summer 1996 to state agency participation in contingency transport planning for the hipments in one the state is forced to accept these shipments. These agencies include California English Department of Health Services, California Highway Patrol, California

Public Utilities Commission Railroad Safety Branch, Office of Emergency Services and CalTrans. The state is committed to considering carefully any potential conflict and discussing it with all participations before making decisions. Still, public perception of risk from radioactive materials will force a phsevative approach to decision making.

1.7 Assumptions: Human and equipment resources are available and that the mergel at all levels of government are trained

2.0 SITUATION

2.1 Transportation Emergencies:

Truck Transportation Emergency Response Rail Road Transportation Emergency Response Medical Response

2.2 Terrorist Threat:

Law (Federal, State, and Local) Emergency Response on all Levels of Government. Medical Response

2.3 Civil Demonstrations/Protest:

Law (Federal, State, and Local)
Emergency Response on all Levels of Government.
Medical Response

3.0 CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS

- 3.1 Planning: The plan times the specific personnel and personnel and quipment which state and federal agencies will provide in support to behalf the experiment.
- 3.2 Threat Analysis: When the land is active to result of a major FRRSNF emergency, OES will ask DHS to make initial recomb as for productions based on the dose projections.

When an individual or start involving the FRRSNF shipments, this plan of operations provides for state and locate to assist and augment federal law enforcement efforts. The Federal Bureau of Ir estigation (FBI each of the control of the state of the control of the control of the state of the control of the cont

3.3 Emergency perations: State a diffederal agencies provide support at the request of local emergency response agency in the event of a F RSNF transportation accident.

(Protective A control is non-sequential because it may be necessary at any time to institute them or reapply them.) The Command will recommend protective actions to local government(s) based on the

advice of DHS and/or the Federal Radiological Monitoring Assessment Center (FRMAC). Implementation is a local government decision.

- **3.4 Ingestion Pathway Operations:** The DHS will direct initial environmental sampling and fect either the DOE or the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) with local and federal agency on a assisting in the collection, screening, and transporting of samples to laboratories.
- 3.5 Site Restoration Operations: Return the area as soon as p sible to profession or to an acceptable/technically achievable condition.

4.0 ORGANIZATION

4.1 Standardized Emergency Management System (SEMS)

SEMS incorporates:

- o The Incident Command System (field-level emergency response
- o Multi/inter-agency coordination coordinate allocations of resources and emergency coordinate activities.
- o Mutual aid Obtaining additional emergency resources from the ffected july and ins.
- o Operational Area County and its subdivisions to coordinate and emergency response.

4.2 Agency Responsibilities and Tasks:

Local responders may need additional support from the public and privilegencies (local American Red Cross, etc.)

5.0 PLAN MAINTENANCE AND TRAINING

6.0 ATTACHMENTS

- 6.1 Authorities and Pannes
- 6.2 Acronyms and Assaults
- 6.3 Response to FRR
- 6.4 Telephone Check L.
- 6.5 Radiological Consider

7.0 OPERATIONAL TAP

7.1 Management of Emergency Const. Activate Regional Emergency Operations Center (REOC)/State Operations Center (SO Management) and establish a Field Operations Center (FOC). Support and assistance will be bordinated from a Ederal Response Center (FRC). State and federal field operations will be collocated to have coordinated a sponse.

Establish the owing: Public Information, communications, internal communications, external communications, al, site restriction operations, contaminated waste disposal, follow-up activities, and

local, state, and federal responsibilities.

- 7.2 Law Enforcement Operations: Threat assessment, consequence analysis, and search or rations.
- 7.3 Emergency Medical Services: Special medical care will be required in the even are exposed to radiation. Many hospitals cannot treat such patients because they have acilities nor trained personnel. Local emergency plans usually identify hospitals able of ha aminated patients. Advice and assistance is available from the Radiation Emergence cv Accide ng Site (REAC/TS), located in Oak Ridge, Tennessee. Another source is the l dical Ra Jogical 2 (MRAT) from Bethesdea, Maryland. These resources will be access hroug DHS at the R
- 7.4 Public Health Services: The DHS is responsible for environmental toring.
- 7.5 Resources and Support: Using the Incident Command Syst in (SEMS, the Logistics Radiological Officer, should determine the availability of assets and facilities are, the scene of the accident and initiate actions to obtain support.
- 7.6 Radiological Protection: The complexities of a FRRS was a ware companded by the public perceptions of radiological hazards. It is extremely impresant the second agent quickly establish an aggressive and comprehensive program to manage radiological safe. A second comprehensing accurate public information, controlling rumors, and initiating otective ctions standard health physics program for responders.

